Efforts for the endangered eel

Dear Commissioner

Almost 8 years ago the Council adopted "Regulation No. 1100/2007 of 18th September 2007 on measures for the recovery of the stock of European eel. The EU Eel Management Plan calls for each river system to reach 40% of pristine silver eel escapement and 50% reduction in marine fishing mortality. In parallel it accepts extensive stocking as a tool to reach these targets.

However, according to the evaluation made by the European Commission released in October last year "the state of the stock of European eel remains critical and a precautionary approach should be adopted until there is certain evidence of a sustained increase in recruitment and the adult stock". The fact is that the stock is so low, that it according to the IUCN is “Critically Endangered”. The response to this situation is that the ICES advice for 2015 for eel reads accordingly:

"The status of eel remains critical and ICES advises that all anthropogenic mortality (e.g. recreational and commercial fishing, hydropower, pumping stations, and pollution) affecting production and escapement of silver eels should be reduced to – or kept as close to – zero as possible."

Same scientific advice has been given since 2007.

We therefore find it incomprehensible, short sighted and extremely concerning that the Commission still allows extensive fishing on this critically endangered species. It is not for the benefit of the ability to restore a favorable conservation status, and consequently the possibility of eventually reestablishing a sustainable fishery on the species. A species with a population size of well under 1/10 of previous levels.

This fishery is allowed at the same time as the Commission, the Parliament and Member States in the new CFP have agreed to follow scientific advice when adopting total annual quotas and management plan and that all stocks should reach MSY before 2015 (or at the latest by 2020)\(^2\). Extensive non-sustainable commercial exploitation of a critically endangered species is also in no way consistent with the obligations the EU has under the CBD. We also wish to draw your attention to the fact that had the eel been included in Annex II or Annex IV of the Habitats Directive, fishing of European eel would have been terminated long ago. May we therefore remind you that the
Commission has the possibility to close this fishery in accordance with article 12 of the Basic Regulation\(^1\) We also ask you to swiftly follow your own advice in the newly conducted evaluation and “consider ways and means to assess the effectiveness of the Eel regulation, including a possible review”\(^1\).

We would also like to emphasis that lack of free passage upstream and downstream European freshwater systems currently poses another major obstacle to eel. Member States lack of action within this area was also pointed out in the recent evaluation\(^2\)

We hence expect that the Commission, as guardian of the correct implementation of the Water Framework Directive, ensure that free migratory routes for migratory fish species is secured in all European rivers.

Undersigning parties kindly urge you to take immediate actions to halt the human induced eel mortality until the stock has reached a level that permits sustainable fishery.

On behalf of the signing organizations,
Yours sincerely

\[\text{Signature}\]

Gitte Seeberg  Ella Maria Bisschop-Larsen  Mårten Wallberg  
CEO  President of the Danish Society for Nature Conservation  vice president of the Swedish Society for Nature Conservation

\(^1\) COM (2014) 640 final REPORT FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE COUNCIL AND THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT. On the outcome of the implementation of the Eel Management Plans, including an evaluation of the measures concerning restocking and of the evolution of market prices for eels less than 12 cm in length